

**MINUTES OF THE
WASATCH COUNTY COUNCIL
OCTOBER 2, 2024**

The Wasatch County Council met in regular session live and by Zoom at 4:00 p.m. And the following business was transacted.

PRESENT: Chair Spencer Park
Mark Nelson
Erik Rowland
Steve Farrell
Kendall Crittenden
Luke Searle
Karl McMillan

STAFF: Dustin Grabau, the Wasatch County Manager
Heber Lefgren, the Assistant Wasatch County Manager
Wendy McKnight, from the Clerk's Office
Rick Tatton, Court Reporter via Zoom
Tiarra Cooper, from the County Manager's Office
Scott Sweat, the Wasatch County Attorney
Jerry Jones, from the Clerk's Office
Jed Muhlestein, the Wasatch County Engineer

PRAYER: Councilman Luke Searle. Councilman Luke Searle also indicated that the celebration of the Heber Valley Railroad was great, and it made you appreciate what the settlers of the Heber Valley went through when they first got the railroad and what it would mean to the community.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: Led by Councilman Karl McMillan and repeated by everyone.

Chair Spencer Park called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m. on Wednesday, October 2, 2024, and indicated that all the Wasatch County Council Members are present. The record should also show that the Wasatch County Council is meeting in the Wasatch County Council Chambers located in the Wasatch County Administrative Building at 25 North Main, Heber City, Utah 84032. Chair Spencer Park then called the first agenda item.

OPEN AND PUBLIC MEETING AFFIDAVIT

The Open and Public Meeting Affidavit was made a part of the record

ADMINISTRATIVE ISSUES FOR FUTURE MEETINGS.

Chair Spencer Park asked if there were any administrative issues for future meetings.

Dustin Grabau indicated we have received a request from the Utah Mounted Thunder and the last two years we have supported their program and are requesting similar support this year. Councilman Steve Farrell indicated that we supported for two years, and they never showed up last year and don't think that they had an event last year. Councilman Steve Farrell indicated that the Council gave them \$15,000 for the two years. Dustin Grabau indicated that last year was the second year that we supported them. I think they are holding it soon and typically hold it around this time of year. Last year we asked them to work with the Chamber on the business grants program but due to the decline in our TRT collection rate projected to the actuals and as a result we are not doing the business grants this year. Do you want to have it on the October 16, 2024, agenda to discuss this matter? Dustin Grabau indicated this comes under the matter as to what events do you support and what ones you don't. Councilman Steve Farrell indicated we have always said regarding the \$15,000 donation for over the last two years. Dustin Grabau indicated that he will not be putting it on a future agenda.

Dustin Grabau indicated that there have been a few requests to potentially have the HVSSD come to a County Council meeting just to talk about how they operate and so that you as a governing body can be more familiar with that as an entity. Maybe we could attend their meetings, or we could have a joint meeting of the boards. Councilman Steve Farrell we could have the Manager come and make a presentation to us. The Manager and I are going to a meeting with the CIB Board to finalize a loan we have with them. We probably could do it on the third meeting for October 16, 2024. Also, they withdrew their application for an industrial protection zone.

LEGISLATIVE ISSUES FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

Chair Spencer Park asked if there were any Legislative Issues for future meetings.

Councilman Steve Farrell asked are we still meeting with UDOT next week? Dustin Grabau indicated that we were able to talk with UDOT and they are available to come next week, and Dustin Grabau indicated that he won't be here. Two commissioners of the UDOT's board will be here.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Chair Spencer Park asked Jeremy Hales, the emergency manager for Wasatch County from the Sheriff's Office and on the incident command team for the Yellow Lake Fire, to report. Jeremy Hales indicated that the current acreage of the fire is 2,526 acres and growing 250 acres per day. The containment level is at zero percent. The personnel that we have on the fire is 390 personnel plus and we are getting crews every day and over \$2 million right now currently on the cost of the fire. Ryan, the fire management officer with forestry fire and state lands then gives an update of what is going on regarding the fighting of the fire. Right now, we are trying to keep the fire from spreading. Hopefully Highway 35 can be opened in a couple of days. There are no evacuation orders in place at the present time with the private property owners, but they are in the ready phase if that is necessary. Right now, the fire is dependent on weather and fire behavior. More crews are showing up daily. We are holding our own so far and over this weekend will be a big test for the fire and for our resources. Right now, the big thing is regard to safety. No structures or equipment have been destroyed. Also, it is human caused but still currently under investigation and the investigator has been here for the last couple of days. He is continuing his investigation. Jeremy Hales also indicated that they are working with livestock owners which have animals in the area and presently getting them moved out.

APPROVAL OF THE SEPTEMBER 18, 2024, MINUTES

Councilman Steve Farrell made a motion to approve the minutes of September 18, 2024, as presented. Councilman Karl McMillan seconded the motion, and the motion carries with the following vote:

**AYE: Chair Spencer Park
AYE: Kendall Crittenden
AYE: Luke Searle
AYE; Karl McMillan
AYE: Mark Nelson
AYE; Erik Rowland
AYE: Steve Farrell**

NAY: None.

BOE ADJUSTMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Jerry Jones, from the County Clerk's Office, indicated that he has the adjustments or recommendations of the last round of BOE hearings. There are two sheets. One is a no change sheet and then we have a change sheet. The difference in value change is noted also. Councilman

Steve Farrell asked if these recommendations are from the hearing officer or still working on recommendations from the assessor's office. Jerry indicated that these are the hearing officer recommendations. They have all been heard and recommendations have been made for changes. We have 24 more that we will add to the minutes.

Councilman Kendall Crittenden indicated that on line 79 it says recent purchase. Is that a change from secondary to primary is that why that is cut in half almost of whatever. Jerry indicated that it was valued at \$826,000 but the homeowner brought in proof that they bought it within this year at \$438, 000. Councilman Steve Farrell indicated that would be a recent purchase. The number \$87 million is that all the board mentioned changes. Jerry indicated that he could get that total number at the end.

Councilman Steve Farrell asked if that is getting close to where it might affect our tax rate. Jerry indicated that we are still way under our target. Next time, I will bring you some more data on that.

Councilman Steve Farrell made a motion that we go ahead and approve the Board of Equalization changes as has been presented for this. Councilman Kendall Crittenden seconded the motion, and the motion carries with the following vote:

AYE: Chair Spencer Park

AYE: Karl McMillan

AYE: Luke Searle

AYE: Kendall Crittenden

AYE: Steve Farrell

AYE: Erik Rowland

AYE: Mark Nelson

NAY: None.

Tracy Taylor, from the Wasatch Taxpayers Association, asked if there is a tally of what type of appeals they are? How many were market value appeals as opposed to equity appeals which are allowed? How far are we into the process and when is he done with this process and are we halfway through or just beginning that would be a big help. Jerry Jones indicated we have hearings scheduled all the way into November 7th. Total of about 750 appeals. The first two rounds that we have had represent about represent about 200 of those so the majority are still to come. What we are seeing is mostly a market value appeal reason. I don't have a summary though of the break down. We are not too deep into the tax roll. We are trying to keep it under three or four percent.

Councilman Steve Farrell indicated that based on our collection rate and our delinquency rate and the number of adjustments that we made last year so it is an accumulative number of things. Jerry indicated that we had 1900 appeals last year and 750 appeals this year.

Councilman Steve Farrell asked if an additional hearing officer will be added to get them done

because we get to November 7th and our taxes are due on November 30th. That presents a problem that don't get a chance to respond. Jerry indicated that we have added another hearing officer Dan Johnson and will be in contact with the people that are more than likely going to be adjusted before the tax roll goes out and this is about the last round that we can get in before the tax roll gets adjusted or changed or locked. We will add credits to their account and telling people that they will pay their taxes and then if necessary, will get the adjustments done hopefully before the end of the year and hopefully before December.

CONSIDERATION OF A RESOLUTION #24-12 OF APPOINTMENT OF A WASATCH COUNTY REPRESENTATIVE AND AN ALTERNATE REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE UTAH COUNTIES INDEMNITY POOL ANNUAL MEMBERSHIP MEETING

Councilman Steve Farrell indicated that in the past it has been Joel Rowley and Jim Kaiserman. Dustin Grabau indicated that in your packet is the resolution that would appoint Joel as the representative with Jim Kaiserman as the alternate.

Councilman Steve Farrell made a motion that we approve Resolution #24-12 appointing Joel as the County representative in the Indemnity Pool membership association and Jim Kaiserman as the alternate. Councilman Luke Searle seconded the motion, and the motion carries with the following vote:

AYE: Chair Spencer Park

AYE: Mark Nelson

AYE: Erik Rowland

AYE: Steve Farrell

AYE; Kendall Crittenden

AYE; Luke Searle

AYE: Karl McMillan

NAY: None.

CONSIDERATION OF AWARDING AN RFP FOR DEVELOPMENT REVIEW CONSULTING AND INSPECTION SERVICES IN WASATCH COUNTY, UTAH.

Jed Muhlestein, the Wasatch County Engineer, addressed the Wasatch County Council and indicated that the Engineering Department has contracted with two consultant firms for several years for development review consulting construction inspections, and other engineering needs - Push Consulting and Ardurra. Both firms have done a great job for the County. Ardurra is no longer available to provide these services which has left a gap in services. Push Consulting continues to provide these services as much as they are able.

Wasatch County solicited for proposals through a request for proposals process to help fill the gap. Proposals were evaluated based on their proposal content and format, consultant experience, consultant presentation, and consultant's capabilities. After the initial review two of the five consultants were selected for interviews. Push Consulting and Jones & DeMille Engineering. Push Consulting, though currently working for the County, answered the RFP and proposed to add additional employees to better be able to meet the County's needs. The selection committee consisted of members from engineering, public works, building and planning departments. The selection committee used the same criteria mentioned above with the addition of consultant fees, in evaluating Push Consulting and Jones & DeMille Engineering, it is the opinion of the selection committee that both firms should be contracted with to provide the services required. Both firms have nearly identical and competitive fees, which are similar to what the County's currently paying and therefore there should be no fiscal impact. Our recommendation is to award the RFP to Push Consulting and Jones & DeMille Engineering.

Councilman Kendall Crittenden made a motion that we approve the recommendation to award the RFP to Push Consulting and Jones & DeMille Engineering as our consulting engineers. Councilman Steve Farrell seconded that motion, and the motion carries with the following vote:

AYE: Chair Spencer Park

AYE: Steve Farrell

AYE: Mark Nelson

AYE: Erik Rowland

AYE: Kendall Crittenden

AYE: Luke Searle

AYE: Karl McMillan

NAY: None.

DISCUSSION AND DIRECTION ON PRIORITIZATION OF PUBLIC TRANSIT SERVICES WITH HIGH VALLEY TRANSIT.

Dustin Grabau, the County Manager, indicated that in July the County Council voted to support an additional transit sales tax that will generate approximately \$800,000 for public safety and \$2.7 million for public transit in 2025. Based on those estimates, Wasatch County is examining options for the public transit portion of those funds to fund the current service as well as expand existing services. Caroline Rodriguez, executive director of High Valley Transit, will share with the County Council costs and information related to the adoption of paratransit for the disabled, expansion of Micro Transit and expansion of the 106 Wasatch Back Connector bus line.

Caroline Rodriguez presented a power point presentation and then addressed the Wasatch County Council and indicated that since you started funding services you have provided almost 300,000

rides in Wasatch County. The surveys show that your residents and visitors really appreciate the public transit service you offer in the valley. There is really a high demand in winter both on fixed and micro. The most common requested destinations within Wasatch County as you can see are Walmart, McDonalds, Smiths, the aquatic center and the high school. This is not a replacement for the school bus service that is in place. There continues to be a high demand on your micro services.

Councilman Erik Rowland asked how are you collecting the demographic information. Caroline indicated that it is based on census data and census tract. This is basically based on where they identify their homes are. I understand that Wasatch County is interested in paratransit service and increasing the availability micro, so your wait time goes down. Other things that we think are necessary in Wasatch County are full day services on your 106 Wasatch Back Connector bus line. We also continue to look at grant opportunities to fund either service or capital improvements and you don't really have any bus stops. Those are things that we will be thinking about over the next year as a transit team.

To implement the valley ride paratransit service which is that advanced ride booking that is through eligibility application and it is just under \$200,000 a year. To add additional micro service that will reduce your estimated time of arrivals for micro transit service whether that is origin to destination or a connection to a fixed route it is just about \$630,000 for the entire year. For adding all day forty-five minutes service on the 106 Wasatch Back Connector bus line. This is just for the wintertime services and then you can think about whether you want to continue to fund it. The transit board would consider funding the off-peak service of the 106. In the \$1.7 million includes full paratransit, full additional micro transit service and then forty-five-minute service on the fixed route 106 from December to the end of April.

Councilman Erik Rowland indicated that it appears from what has been reported and what has been submitted to us there is a large segment of those who wish the fees were reduced and may qualify for the paratransit. Carolyn indicated that as a public transit entity we cannot make the judgement call. This is a policy call for the Council. Because you are funding it with local funds, you could potentially say we as the Wasatch County Council and it is our policy that we will find for examine senior services as a priority. We want our money to go to seniors riding or persons with disabilities first. Paratransit is intended to bridge the gap for those who cannot get to or use a fixed route service because of a disability. However how it operates between counties is for example if there is a person with a mobility limitation they would get a paratransit van that would deliver them to the fixed route and then they would use the west to put their mobility device onto the vehicle and would get on the 106 fixed and go into Park City for that doctor's appointment because all of our fix vehicles are comfortable.

Dustin Grabau asked could you address the differences between a regular micro trip and a paratransit trip for someone who has a qualified mobility disability or something like that. Carolyn indicated that if somebody has a mobility disability and they want to travel on the valley floor then we valley ride in Wasatch they would get an origin to destination trip. The paratransit

rider they could book two weeks in advance, and they can set up continuing trips where they say that I travel to dialysis three times a week for the next six months and we set up all those recurring trips so then they are not calling every time. The government has certain rules that govern how we provide paratransit.

Councilman Erik Rowland indicated that currently we don't have paratransit, and this is what it would cost to implement that service but in the meantime the policy we could create would up to us to prioritize the use or intent. Carolyn indicated that is a bad idea and a very slippery slope and outside of senior service is not something done everywhere because that puts the burden on Wasatch County to say my trip is important than your trip. When we are looking at that through the context of public transit it is a grey area.

Councilman Kendall Crittenden indicated if we made the deal to prioritize senior services how would that work, and they are doing micro and they can't guarantee necessarily a ride. If we give priority how does that work if they called in and that van is already filled up so they can't bump somebody that is already scheduled gives them priority. Carolyn indicated that we would have to qualify them for eligibility for senior services through an application within micro. Then we would have to allow them to book in advance. The price would go up because there is a lot of administrative work and hours and so just be aware of that.

Councilman Luke Searle indicated that you can't really prioritize one ride over the other. Is the intent of adopting a micro service to enhance and make the fixed-up service more viable? Carolyn replied that is correct. Councilman Luke Searle is that okay to target and say if your trip is going to use that fixed route could we prioritize in that way? The County isn't competing between Uber and Lift or we really trying to be targeted in what we are doing. Carolyn indicated with your settlement patterns it would be highly insufficient to run a fixed route service. Yes, the intent of micro is to bring people to the fixed route but it also a dual purpose in that if we go back to that density map all of those people in the trip patterns are all over the valley floor which tells us there is demand. People are making those trips they need to make those trips that they can't do so otherwise, that can't be served by a fixed route, but they still need to make that trip.

Councilman Erik Rowland indicated that micro transit and I think this is where I was wrong was it was never intended to be a point-to-point service. It was designed to go from a pickup point to a fixed route service. Carolyn replied that is right. Councilman Erik Rowland indicated that what I have observed using it myself that is not the case. It is a point-to-point service. The trips must be completed on micro.

Chair Spencer Park indicated that the Council wanted this to minimize traffic, and it is not minimizing traffic if it is going point to point. If it was going to point to micro it would be minimizing traffic and that was our intent. Dustin Grabau indicated that with the traditional fixed bus route methodology in Wasatch County is that we are not sufficiently dense for that to be a viable transportation alternative outside of what we basically have. I think it is unlikely that we will ever have sufficient density to warrant some kind of fixed circulation throughout the valley

floor. Micro does serve a need.

Councilman Kendall Crittenden indicated that one of the big complaints is taking the kids from high school to Walmart in the middle of the day. Councilman Luke Searle asked could public transit be able to have parents be able to monitor their kids, so they know where they are going and isn't that what Uber is trying to do now. Carolyn indicated that we cannot be responsible for allowing parents to track their children.

Councilman Erik Rowland replied would you be able to walk us through if something happens to a minor, the liability associated with that is what. Just walk us through how that has been worked out with the agreements in place. Carolyn indicated that there is not a different liability for minors. Councilman Erik Rowland asked the liability is the same for a ten-year-old as an adult. Carolyn indicated that is right. Councilman Erik Rowland asked what about the drivers do they have background checks as well. Carolyn indicated that they are given all the necessary checks to be a driver of one of the vehicles. Our checks are even more stringent than the federal government checks. If there is an accident Heber Valley Transit is responsible and is the covered entity.

Councilman Erik Rowland indicated that in understanding all our options I really think the Council is trying to understand so that we can tell the people who ask us that these are the boundaries we have to work within.

Dustin Grabau indicated that the additional taxes we expect for transit will bring in \$2.7 million and will be \$3.6 million in total and delegated twenty five percent to public safety and that leaves \$2.7 million for transit. We need \$600,000 to cover our current funding gap and to fund the current service we need an additional \$600,000 which means there is \$2.1 million now available of additional funds. The current service generates a .25 compared to .3 and it is something less than \$3 million. I think it is about \$3 million is what we are generating on an annual basis, but it is less than \$3 million. With paratransit being the highest priority we would have \$1.3 million available for the balance of future capital needs, potential adjustments to micro.

Chair Spencer Park indicated that we have \$2.7 million for whatever and on a yearly basis we have somewhere in the neighborhood of \$4 million total with the previous tax and the new tax. Dustin Grabau indicated that with these two together I think we will be approaching somewhere in the \$5 million. Staying in budget and we want to increase paratransit, and all the Council is on board with this. We want to prioritize micro but maybe not increase the routes right now, the fixed bus route. I think we need to do a study that the County could begin purchasing some property for park and rides, capital needs a shop, need a bus shop and I think the County ought to use this money to purchase it like one or two parking lots. I want to make sure that we don't spend all of our money on these other needs that we have talked about and if we are not prioritizing the money for long term needs.

Dustin Grabau replied that this type of thing I am hoping to get out of this conversation of what your priorities are. If we did have the one time funding the \$600.00 to cover the 2024 funding gap

and I think that is a great funding source to use on an ongoing basis for future capital needs and if we were to set aside that portion of it. There are opportunities especially with federal grants. Transit plays a role in our tourist portions of our economy.

Councilman Luke Searle indicated that as we become more of a tourist destination, we have got to know what the impact of tourism is and what that would look like. Dustin Grabau indicated that it is worth noting that a significant portion of this sales tax is paid for by non-residents, at least half of this is paid for by non-residents. This is great news for our taxpayers that while they are paying for a significant portion of this through their sales taxes. The sales tax doesn't apply to gas, doesn't apply to groceries and doesn't apply to other services but that we are capturing a lot of economic benefit. We need to have a more robust public discussion about this. There are lots of people that exist in that grey area of service. Carolyn indicated that we have spread sheets that break down everything.

Councilman Erik Rowland asked for all these numbers both on the financial side as well as the data that is provided through reports and usage. Is that exclusively provided by you or are there other agencies that come an audit those just to ensure accuracy and accountability. For example, if we wanted to double check the usage numbers that is solely provided by you as the agency correct. Carolyn replied yes and it is public information so you could request like the raw data from our software. We report to the federal government and have a methodology standard that we follow.

Councilman Erik Rowland indicated that it was last year or year and a half I don't know how long ago apparently Park City has amended how the services provided by HVT and what has changed? Carolyn indicated that what has changed is they decided instead of keeping micro transit they were going to put fixed route services in those areas that operate I think every ninety minutes to two hours instead of putting micro transit in. When they did that, they had misinformation in their staff report that made their way to their city council and we asked that the official record be corrected and that request was declined.

Councilman Luke Searle asked about low fares. Carolyn indicated that we cannot collect fares. The issue is the share of costs that we would recoup for fares is so low in all rural areas that you drive away more riders and you lose money on riders because of the way our federal funding works.

Councilman Luke Searle indicated that as we are looking at increasing micro transit what is to say that we increase that amount and the demand is still not met, are we going to have to increase and do another sales tax if the demand is not met. How can we ensure that providing a service that was the intent of our priorities? Carolyn replied that the way to do that if you increase your micro funding. This is a pretty good chunk of hours per month. If we increase that and we are still seeing ETA's estimated time of van arrival at thirty minutes, then that says that we need to go back and look at our data and potentially implement a fixed route for our big origins and big destinations and that is the power of the data that we are collecting with micro because we see those travel

patterns over and over. Then we can say for the one trip the neighborhood to Walmart or Midway to wherever now we have crossed the line where it is more affordable and efficient to put in a fixed route.

Councilman Erik Rowland asked if we were to add another fixed route to our max there would be another cost associated or higher cost. Carolyn replied that it would be hourly fixed route. Councilman Kendall Crittenden indicated that I am questioning your numbers and maybe your numbers are showing at least through those four months, and I somewhat question the full day 106 service and maybe I don't know how much increase micro availability. I am all over para and am all over the expanded service to Utah County. I wonder about those other two. Councilman Erik Rowland indicated that with what Councilman Luke Searle has said, we would see decreased ridership. Carolyn replied that we exist to serve the community and give access to anywhere in the community for any reason that people want. We as a public transit entity don't make the policy or the judgements based on why or when you are traveling. Our purpose is to bring as many riders into the system that we can and to provide as many trips as people need to allow people to participate in their community whether they are a single vehicle household or have five vehicles and they just want to try transit. We just want everybody to have transit and be able to use it when they want, and fares is not the way to go and there are so many requirements by the federal government on collecting and reporting and accounting for fares. For a rural agency it would cost us more to build up that capacity then we would collect in fares.

Councilman Erik Rowland indicated that if the Council created a policy and it then creates an administrative burden as you said earlier is that kind of HVT way of saying this goes against our missions, so we are going to charge more. Carolyn replied that I would have to hire many more staff. We would have to expand our existing software technology. There is an option, and that option would be you Wasatch County handle eligibility and prioritization of rides and then you say these are the thirty people who we want to ride this month. Then we book the rides they request but then the burden is on Wasatch County to look at eligibility, prioritize those trips, etc.

Chair Spencer Park indicated then we could make a list of the seniors that qualify right. Carolyn replied that I haven't seen a senior service that isn't funded through federal funds. Almost all the senior services in the nation are funded through a specific funding source so they have lots of requirements. Generally, it is either sixty and older or sixty-five and older. Some services limit it to you can go home to congregate meal sites or home to shopping. Some have asked Walmart to pay unsuccessfully I might add. Other services if you receive heating assistance or any other type of assistance from like MAG then you are automatically eligible. Or if you are sixty-five and older and you show your ID to our administrative assistant then you are eligible. It is really up to you regarding that if you put those rules in and then it would operate just like the paratransit where we have these folks are eligible and you could say that your eligibility is forever, or it goes for three years and then you come in and prove that you have aged three years. It is very flexible, but you have to put some parameters in and you will have to realize that people will always find a way to sort of work around.

Councilman Luke Searle indicated that he just wants to touch on this point that Chair Spencer Park brought up about the use of these funds and maybe after we pay what we need to cover for next year is that our micro transit map does not cover all of our County nor does some areas of our County take a lot of advantage of this micro service and I think that because they are paying sales tax they are doing these things. It would be great to put aside some funds and maybe we could work with our public works director to see how this could work and maybe put just directly in the public works fund to help work on road maintenance and creation of new roads so that everybody is experiencing the increase as they are experiencing the tax service.

Dustin Grabau replied that I think the idea that we would amend our service agreement with High Valley Transit to reflect whatever that new changes look like. How do you want to go about diving into it? Ideally, we would have this service agreement amended by January to launch the paratransit and other things like that and would amend the service agreement with them outlining stuff.

Chair Spencer Park replied that I am coming back and so like the investment into the future, and I voted for the increased sales tax. I don't think we need to provide every right, everybody every point. I would really like to see our micro go to hospitals that kind of thing and we don't need to run people to McDonalds but don't know how we stop that even if it costs more administratively it is all going to cost us less in long term costs and could put more money to our future goals of providing long term micro. Dustin Grabau replied right, and I am just trying to figure out how do you want to address that. What are the next steps.

Councilman Erik Rowland replied that it is an important subject, and it needs some time that we can talk about. I think we express some options for anyone that is driving anywhere in Heber now. Some kind of transit is needed at the same time we do have a responsibility and assist possibly we can.

Councilman Steve Farrell replied that at some point we got figure the mass outweighs the one or two violations that we are talking about. Councilman Mark Nelson replied that I was going to say the same thing and maybe in a different way and that is I think that a lot of the comments that we have made today that we feel like it is being abused and currently being abused the service is currently being used and we need to figure out if that is true or not. Like Councilman Steve Farrell that is such a small fraction of all the good that it is doing we need to have that in front of us when we made these decisions.

Councilman Kendall Crittenden replied that I feel that it is a provided service and for a long time held off and I didn't want to provide transit to Park City for their workers to live here and deal with all those kinds of issues. When this thing came along, I realized that they are here. It is providing a service perhaps for them and providing a service for our people and there are those that abuse it. It is providing a service for a lot of people.

Councilman Luke Searle replied that I want to point out too if we go with the senior service and if we increase paratransit that means there are less people that are trying from a paratransit perspective trying to get on and using the current micro transit as well. If we had those kinds of

services that would relieve some of the use from those other ones if we increased, it that way. Councilman Kendall Crittenden replied how many people would qualify to get that and you had a number obviously. Carolyn replied that I did have a number which I don't know off the top of my head, but I can get that for you. Councilman Luke Searle replied that I would like your best thoughts on this, and I feel like High Valley Transit has not acted like some other entities. That paratransit service and senior service is the most efficient to have the least amount of administration costs and very interested in that. I want to be able to do it and show the citizens that we care about them. Regarding fares, it will be rural versus urban yes. Carolyn replied that is correct. In terms of providing your citizens with a benefit but it is such a high return on investment. Councilman Karl McMillan indicated that he has nothing to say.

Tracy Taylor, with the Wasatch Taxpayers Association, I stayed for this discussion. I get a lot of phone calls, and you have this October 22, 2024, open house to hear things and this should be on it. You cannot expect people to come at four or five o'clock on an afternoon on a Wednesday to be here to give you their concerns regarding this item. I would like to see the contract, the service agreement that was signed by the County. Hopefully you can just post it on the web site. And don't have to file a GRAMA request for this stuff and I would really appreciate it. I have had people ask me what that agreement is and especially if we are going to amend it. Liability is a big deal to parents. That is a big deal and don't know how we fix it. Kids are riding these transit systems without the parents knowing they are doing that. Like to hear more about what our options are with fares. Like can we encourage carpooling in some of these. You guys are on the right track is all I am trying to say. These questions are great questions. The taxpayers don't feel like they must pay for everybody regarding transit. Also, we shouldn't be compared to Park City because people are different in Park City regarding transit use. I would like to see more raw data and see what federal grants and money that we would lose if we did this or that. This is a much bigger issue for taxpayers than just a few people.

Chair Spencer Park asked the Council how do you want to study it? Dustin Grabau replied that Chair Spencer Park is our ex-officio member on the High Valley Transit Board, and I don't know that we have ever convened an official transit committee.

Councilman Kendall Crittenden replied that possibly we should convene a subcommittee and then talk about which of those four options that we want to provide. Councilman Steve Farrell indicated that we need to discuss the things that we have talked about today. Chair Spencer Park asked on the Council who would be interested in being on a subcommittee. Also, I would like to see it in a spread sheet form, and I don't want to enact another sales tax any time soon. I think that if we don't pare down some of this we are out of money before we express it in the future and we set aside money for capital improvements before we have done anything on transportation. I would suggest that maybe Councilman Erik Rowland, Chair Spencer Park and Councilman Kendall Crittenden or Councilman Luke Searle. I don't care which one of them want to be on the subcommittee. We need to have a subcommittee as soon as possible to work on these different issues and then come back with a recommendation to the Council.

Steve Farrell replied that I think that is a good idea, but I think to come back with a path a direction that you want the Council to go on and we will adopt it and then we will start looking at different sources of funds. Sales tax is not the only source of funding that we can go after. We are looking at ride and share and we could probably go to UDOT and see if they have got a grant.

Councilman Luke Searle replied that we should work in conjunction with High Valley Transit when we decide what our priorities are. Chair Spencer Park indicated that the ones to serve on the subcommittee are Chair Spencer Park, Councilman Kendall Crittenden, Councilman Erik Rowland. Councilman Mark Nelson will still serve as ex-officio. Chair Spencer Park replied that I would like the time to do this without a county master plan in place. We are trying to create this. What do we want to say, where we want to put that in the future and how much we want to spend currently to get those, and we know our budget but we don't know what the needs and expenses are.

Councilman Steve Farrell replied that is this a benefit that we want to provide to the citizens of Wasatch County. Chair Spencer Park replied that we have already committed to provide some. Councilman Steve Farrell replied to provide some but providing some may not even meet the need. Councilman Mark Nelson replied that we really need to study the data and have the data that kind of drives this and we need to understand that. Councilman Luke Searle replied to it would be better with us moving forward even though it is sales tax what the data was that had hit the demand, and we have hit the demand on introduction services.

Councilman Erik Rowland replied that he has asked this question I think in a previous discussion on this. I understand that people schedule a ride through an app. And watched my son do it. Do we have or are there options as one of those policies that we could implement and that if there was more questions or some kind of a survey and it wouldn't disqualify ridership. It wouldn't change the time that we would come. It would be more of a data collection exercise that we could say that you have to fill this out to get a ride. You at least have to answer these questions in order to get a ride. Carolyn replied that we have done surveys before, and I would have to check to see if we can then say you don't answer you don't move forward, and I don't know why you couldn't do that.

Councilman Luke Searle replied that in previous surveys what percentage say if it voluntarily saying. Dustin Grabau replied that I would have to pull out the data from the last survey that we gathered. Carolyn replied that possibly the technology can do it, and I think it can, but I would need to get back.

Dustin Grabau replied that could be a great discussion in our subcommittee and I think we will move Carolyn in High Valley Transit in on those discussions as well to be able to provide you data and things like that. Chair Spencer Park replied that Councilman Mark Nelson said about the need we will discuss will determine and I want the budget to decide the service. I don't want this to over blow our budget. We are not the federal government. Some day they are going to run out of money too even though they don't think they will.

Councilman Mark Nelson indicated that we are not trying to add budget right we are trying to allocate funds. Chair Spencer Park replied to allocate our budget and that is why I come with the conversation what do we have and how much we want to set aside. We may not have enough money for all of this and still be functioning ten years from now.

Dustin Grabau indicated that as a long-term plan is a fixed route to Utah County. I think that needs to be part of the discussion and how do we get to that point. Carolyn indicated that you asked a question about October 22, 2024, are you also having a Wasatch County Open House or someone referring to the High Valley and we are having a discussion on October 22, 2024. Our discussion is from ten to three-thirty so you will all get an invitation to be there, and you will be able to hear from the board and their priorities and what they want to fund, and they are asking these same questions as well. It must be reported because it is a board meeting, public meeting.

Councilman Mark Nelson asked what we are doing exactly on the same day. Dustin Grabau replied that he will pull up some of the information and we are having a public meeting. It has been coordinated with departments to do and have a Q and A Panel of various County departments to be able to answer questions that have been sourced from the public. What we are going to do on October 22, 2024, and will have a panel discussion portion and then also break out group discussions that are more specific on a wider variety of topics. This is Tuesday October 22, 2024, at 6:00 p.m. in the Wasatch County Senior Center and going to be a panel discussion, open house and certainly could have panel discussion points around transit and an open house station dealing with transit. It is very feasible, and I will work on Jon Woodard on the details of that.

Councilman Kendall Crittenden replied that as I understand it the panel discussion will be as I was told that Councilman Steve Farrell and Councilman Luke Searle will represent the Council on that. They will be the ones on the panel discussion. Dustin Grabau replied that it is scheduled that it will be Councilman Steve Farrell, myself, Councilman Luke Searle and our parks and recreation director Tom Bonner, Joey Granger, the clerk/auditor and we are hoping to be able to confirm that Sheriff Rigby will join that panel discussion as well. We will have open house participation from the planning department, public works department, clerk auditor, communications, manager's office, parks and recreation, health department, behavioral health and we are looking to coordinate with the fire and the sheriff as well and we may add to that list transit now. We will handle the most commonly asked questions and high priority discussion points.

DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION OF PROVIDING A CONTRIBUTION TO UAC FOR PUBLIC LANDS ADVOCACY.

Councilman Kendall Crittenden indicated that UAC is interested in filing an amicus brief related to the State of Utah's efforts to gain local control over federally owned but undesignated lands. To do so, UAC has asked for a voluntary contribution of \$1,000 from counties that wish to participate. We had quite a lengthy discussion in Executive Board as to whether UAC wanted to sponsor an

amicus brief to the lawsuit or litigation that the State of Utah proposed to the Supreme Court to give us control over our federal lands that weren't specifically designated for something like a park or whatever. As we talked about this amicus brief will be sponsored by UAC for the State of Utah, the counties but there were some counties that spoke and said that we don't support this amicus brief. The amicus brief might take around \$20,000.00 and it is due October 22, 2024, and made a proposal that each county and there was an agreement to that perhaps put up \$1000.00 towards that amicus brief. I just left it out there to think about it and I said it will come. We have received an invoice from UAC and if we chose not to do it we will just say that we are not going to participate.

Dustin Grabau replied that it is for the state to take federally undesignated land so these wouldn't be national forests and wouldn't be national parks and mostly BOR and BLM lands that is undesignated and transfer it over to state control. Councilman Kendall Crittenden supported this because that been a real grievance, and we have got about sixty to seventy percent of our land in the state controlled by the federal government. The amicus brief would be in support of this issue.

Councilman Steve Farrell indicated that we are talking about 90,000 acres of land that is owned by the federal government and not in the forest service or the BLM and this the land we are talking about. How many other counties are going to do this? Councilman Kendall Crittenden indicated that he doesn't know. I am okay with going for the majority. Dustin Grabau indicated that it is primarily a southern Utah issue. We have very limited land that would be eligible for this. We have sufficient funds under our discretionary to fund this. Councilman Steve Farrell indicated that he is not satisfied on some of the things that UAC has done in the past as it is related to public lands, but it is worth supporting the other counties. Councilman Mark Nelson feels like we shouldn't support it and that is a state thing and not a county thing. Councilman Kendall Crittenden indicated that the brief is due October 22, 2024. They indicated that it would take around \$20,000 to do the brief. Councilman Kendall Crittenden indicated that the UAC fees for a year are about \$37,000.00. Councilman Erik Rowland indicated that I feel like and understand from their definition of what they provide this would be outside of the scope but considering that this is a very rare request that I almost feel like they are trying to establish more precedent to allow for itemized billings of outside services that they feel doesn't qualify.

Councilman Kendall Crittenden made a motion that we approve this proposed funding for public lands to support the amicus brief in the amount of \$1,000.00. Councilman Luke Searle seconded the motion, and the motion fails with the following vote:

AYE: Steve Farrell

AYE: Kendall Crittenden

AYE: Luke Searle

NAY: Mark Nelson

NAY: Erik Rowland

NAY: Karl McMillan

NAY: Chair Spencer Park

DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION OF PROVIDING FEEDBACK TO UDOT FOR SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS ON NORTH HIGHWAY 40.

Dustin Grabau indicated that regarding this potential improvement and Jed Muhlestein the County engineer was able to meet with one of the UDOT engineers to discuss the proposal. What is before us is that UDOT has been working toward making sections of Highway 40 safer for all travelers. A pending improvement is installing center dividers in the section of Highway 40 between the Highway 32/River Road intersection and Heritage Farms Parkway. These dividers have breaks at the following intersections.

1. University Avenue
2. College Way/UVU entrance
3. Commons Boulevard/Potters Lane
4. Coyote Canyon.

The divider end near 1200 North by the Back 40 Grill included as an attachment to this item is a map of the proposed improvements. Wasatch County Engineering and Public Works directors have reviewed the proposal and agree with the need and benefit of the improvements as proposed. UDOT is seeing input from Wasatch County on these improvements. This stretch of road is dangerous and regularly have fatalities here relating to cross lane travel. All of the breaks are at the locations where we have a existing corridor access agreement between Heber City, UDOT and Wasatch County with one exception so the Coyote Canyon Parkway and this area is Wasatch Commons that is proposed to have the divider and this intersection is the one that does not have a proposed light but would have a break this is the University Avenue and Potter's Lane Intersection. If certain amount of the safety of these improvements is decreased, it may make the project not able to proceed at all. If the cost benefit analysis which is largely a technical analysis dips below a certain amount, then the federal funds are not available for any of it.

Councilman Erik Rowland indicated that the light at the Smith's Store has made it easier to get on the highway and has made it safer. As lights are added I can't see the need for this. Dustin Grabau indicated that it is also important to note that the corridor access agreement for this stretch of Highway 40 intends this stretch to have limited access. It is likely the bypass will impact whatever the road profile here looks like, but we could be ten years away from a bypass and ten y ears away from additional lights. It would be next year that UDOT would be putting these dividers in. The County will have no expense to put these dividers in.

Eric Hales the Wasatch County Fire Chief indicated that we are working on putting a fire station in this area of London Springs. We are totally on board with this proposal because of the safety aspect of this. Access onto the highway with these dividers would be an issue with fire equipment's access onto the highway. Depending on what happens down the road we might have to find another location for a fire station.

Councilman Erik Rowland indicated that we must be careful when we restrict access to these other developments and are possibly creating more problems with the traffic regarding safety. Chair Spencer Park indicated that we need dividers like this for safety. Councilman Erik Rowland indicated that these dividers will be a complete waste of money and time and resources and creates far more problems in the long run than anything that is proposed to solve because of this road is fundamentally changing.

Jed Muhlestein, the Wasatch County Engineer indicated that when the lights go in, they are going to lower the speed limit to forty-five. Putting dividers in there will make it safer. Also, UDOT is not married to this project, and they are saying that this was a project that was identified through a highway safety improvement program and indicated that this is a potential project that could be funded based on the cost benefit analysis and they are not going to push forward unless they have both Council's and Heber City's approval.

Councilman Mark Nelson indicated that our feedback should be that this was envisioned, and the situation has changed since this was envisioned and our feedback ought to indicate that it is way more complicated now because of all of the development and because of the fire station potential and yes, we are all for safety if they want to do that, but it is a different world. I don't know if this is a motion or not, but I think we ought to just summarize the discussion that Council has had, and it is complicated. Councilman Steve Farrell indicated that lets just have Jed Muhlestein express our feed back to the UDOT team.

Councilman Erik Rowland made a motion that we instruct Jed Muhlestein to provide the following feedback to UDOT that due to the historic nature of this road has fundamentally changed over the last three years and we would hope that UDOT would consider that along with specifically with lower speeds, the implementation of lights in conjunction with much higher density than had originally been planned causing more residents to need access at multiple points along this road work with the fire district on their fire station.

Dustin Grabau summarized is that if we were to take all the ways in which this corridor has changed and ask UDOT to consider that and is this improvement still necessary given all of those things.

Councilman Steve Farrell seconded that motion, and the motion carries with the following vote:

AYE: Chair Spencer Park

AYE: Mark Nelson

AYE: Erik Rowland

AYE; Steve Farrell

AYE: Kendall Crittenden

AYE: Luke Searle

AYE: Karl McMillan

NAY: None.

FEMA MATTER

Councilman Kendall Crittenden asked Jed Muhlestein to mention what he knows about FEMA improvements: Jed Muhlestein, the County Engineer, indicated that currently FEMA has designated wide swaths of land by our rivers and any time the developer comes in FEMA is essentially saying that we don't know the hydrology by the river that you are developing therefore your developer needs to come and do an analysis and present calculations and tell us where it is safe for them to put homes. Right now, FEMA is doing the calculations for the entire valley, and they are narrowing up, not necessarily narrowing up, they are identifying through the calculations exactly where those flood plain boundaries and so that way when a developer comes in, we now look at the map and we say developer here are the identifying boundaries. The hydrology work has been done, you can build here, or you can't build here and if you want to do something here you need to go work with FEMA. That is essentially what they are doing, and it does affect insurance rates for the citizens of the community by having a more detailed map which puts you on a higher scale with the National Flood Insurance Program. This will be on a more detailed scale, but we will get to that point when they present their data to the county. When they get to the point of wanting to adopt a map, they must put it out publicly. Councilman Steve Farrell indicated that we ought to redo it against the existing map we have got and the point of this is to narrow up those zones. We don't have near the flood potential we have had in the past. Dustin Grabau indicated that it removes the question marks and right now all we have are question marks and says here is the line. Jed Muhlestein indicated that when we get the data, and we have a map that they want to put out for public review I think that is when we would want to look at it.

CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 24-13. A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF WASATCH COUNTY, UTAH, PROVIDING FOR THE CREATION OF THE WAKARA RIDGE PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE DISTRICT AS AN INDEPENDENT DISTRICT, AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING A GOVERNING DOCUMENT AND AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT, APPOINTING A BOARD OF TRUSTEES AUTHORIZING OTHER DOCUMENTS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, AND RELATED MATTERS.

CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 24-014 A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF WASATCH COUNTY, UTAH, PROVIDING FOR THE CREATION OF THE BLACK ROCK MOUNTAIN RESORT PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE DISTRICT AS AN INDEPENDENT DISTRICT, AUTHORIZING AND APPROVING A GOVERNING DOCUMENT AND AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT APPOINTING A BOARD OF TRUSTEES AUTHORIZING OTHER

DOCUMENTS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH AND RELATED MATTERS.

Dustin Grabau, the County Manager, addressed the Wasatch County Council and indicated that Scott Sweat, the County Attorney, were only able to reach final approval of the PID documents due to the timing of the original approval and the time that it took for us to reach that level of confidence that original approval needs to be renewed. So, this is just re-ratifying the previous approval of the Council so the documents can be submitted, and nothing has been changed except today's date.

Councilman Karl McMillan made a motion to adopt Resolution 24-13 and 24-14 as presented and today's date. Councilman Steve Farrell seconded that motion, and the motion carries with the following vote:

AYE: Chair Spencer Park

AYE: Mark Nelson

AYE: Erik Rowland

AYE: Steve Farrell

AYE: Kendall Crittenden

AYE: Luke Searle

AYE: Karl McMillan

NAY: None.

COUNCIL/BOARD REPORTS

Councilman Mark Nelson indicated that as you drive down Midway Lane and cross Provo River that some of those big giant trees are dead and in dangerous areas where they could fall on the road or hurt someone and who is responsible for keeping it safe. Maybe somebody could look there and make sure it isn't a very dangerous situation where a tree is going to fall on someone.

Councilman Mark Nelson indicated that the Railroad had their 125-anniversary last weekend and a year ago I had brought up when Cowboy Poetry ended and the possibility of a Heritage Days Festival or something like that and didn't know who wanted to do that. Maybe the Wasatch Community ought to be or maybe the County wants to form an organization like the Midway Boosters but only in the County would be a volunteer to put it on. Just wanted to mention that.

Councilman Eric Rowland asked Dustin Grabau to give us a quick update on where we are with regard to the Strawberry Development. Dustin Grabau indicated that we are coordinating with the Central Water Conservancy District and the BOR on their requested criteria for maintenance and plowing of the Soldier Creek Dam. I had a meeting with the forest service and right now I am doing the research and giving us back information on the standards of the forest service road that we connect in this project out to the west. The information will be given to me and I hope to hear

from them as soon as possible when they can get this information. The idea is that after we work out the details of this agreement, we will record the plats, and issue permits on that project.

Councilman Steve Farrell indicated regarding the sewer plant we pulled the request off on the manufacturing option because we had the public meeting in Midway and there were so many misconceptions on it and what it meant, etc. We felt like we needed to do a better job of educating the people about what it is. The property to the south of the plant that generated this whole problem is going to be developing and we will have no way because they are going to use lots of records with their lot lines and be selling lots, probably twenty to thirty acres each for \$5-8 million and build a house and that was our big concern. We will make some changes to it so that on the farm out here on Highway 189 we will put the ag protection zone on it and not the manufacturers zone. Also, when we get a little more trust with the citizens of Midway, we will come back and put the manufacturing zone back on. I am going down with the manager to the CIB Board. We went down last February and got a loan for the \$20 million project and some of the things we were proposing were counterproductive to what we really need. The manager came back with another plan to help make it more efficient to eliminate the odor and we are going to ask the CIB Board to change the scope of work on this project. I don't see that there will be a problem with that.

Councilman Kendall Crittenden asked if there is anything that we want to put on interlocal.

Councilman Luke Searle indicated that Heber City had their public hearing about their annexation of Harvest Village but also the Crossings and asked them to work with the County because the County was named multiple times. We need to work together with that and maybe that could be put on the interlocal meeting. Also, the STILA Christensen property as well. We need to have discussions to make sure that every voice is heard. Councilman Mark Nelson asked if there is anything regarding the communication with UDOT on the interlocal agenda and anything regarding the bypass? Also, this River View application should that be on the interlocal agenda to talk about that.

Councilman Erik Rowland also indicated that there were some people that wanted some additional information or reports from the Wasatch County Solid Waste with regard to trash pickup. Increasing the fees for dumping will cause people to dump their trash along the highways etc. and just leave trash around. Since this increase of fees has been the byproduct of this fee increase. Have we looked at decreasing the fee. We need to know how many people are using the transfer station or are the fees causing people not to use the facility. We need to look at some data regarding whether the use of the dumping has decreased because of the fee increase.

Councilman Kendall Crittenden indicated that with regard to the CBDG how to apply workshop is October 17, 2024. I don't think that we talked about any grant applications and nobody in the County wants us to sponsor them. If you hear of anybody that would like to use this let me know.

Councilman Luke Searle indicated that we had the Jordanelle listening town hall last week with the school district and others and we had some lively discussions. There were about 30 people in attendance. Every six months we have this discussion. The formatting was completely followed and excited to see on October 22, 2024 to see how this format goes. We discussed that we are making these roads and then developments around the roads. Also, would it be okay with the Council if Heber City came and were interested in coming to a Council meeting to make us aware of their annexations, would that be, okay? The annexation of the Christensen property and STILA has really got some issues. Right now they don't have a drop of water and want to transfer water off from the valley floor and some agriculture water that they want to convert to M&I and they want to transfer up to JSSD and have JSSD deliver water to their property but they want to be their own water system and put the water in and then claim the reuse of the water and reuse it again down in Magpie and Independence. It has got some real issues they have got to overcome. We told them that we were not going to provide service for them, and we don't have the capabilities of doing it. Heber City is not going to be the water provider, and it is going to be Twin Creeks or North Village. We have a policy that we want to keep as much green space on the valley floor and to allow them to transfer water up into an area that has never been historically irrigated and drying this valley floor up is a real problem.

Councilman Karl McMillan had some water issues that he discussed regarding Jordanelle and Hideout and the Deer Valley Dam. Councilman Steve Farrell indicated that the Deer Valley Dam is owned by the church. It is the irrigation companies' water, but the church uses that for the grooming of the church camp. I sent him to Max Covey and the irrigation is owned by Lake Creek Irrigation. The meters in Hideout were redeveloped up there and delivery Max was going to go with Paul and get him straightened out as far as where the money goes and where it has been going and why they are using so much water in that little bit of acreage. Councilman Steve Farrell indicated that is kind of a mess because JSSD used to run that system and then Hideout came to him and said that we want to run the system and own it so we want you to wholesale the water to us and that is what is being done now and we have got one master meter and wholesaling water to them and what they do with it after they take it is their business. Councilman Karl McMillan replied that Max Covey is going to get with their boys up there and try and straighten them out. I told them there is one master meter.

MANAGER'S REPORT

CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTING MEMBERS TO THE LIBRARY AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING BOARDS.

Dustin Grabau indicated that the Library and Affordable Housing Boards both have vacancies in the makeup of their respective boards. We received five applicants for the Library Board and only one applicant for the Affordable Housing Board. I am recommending Danny Goode to be reappointed as a member of the Library Board and Alese Overly as a new member to be appointed a citizen at large on the Affordable Housing Board.

Councilman Kendall Crittenden made a motion that we accept Dustin Grabau's recommendations for those two board members. Councilman Karl McMillan seconded the motion, and the motion carries with the following vote:

AYE: Chair Spencer Park

AYE: Mark Nelson

AYE: Erik Rowland

AYE: Steve Farrell

AYE: Kendall Crittenden

AYE: Luke Searle

AYE: Karl McMillan

NAY: None.

WASATCH COMMUNITY FOUNDATION'S MASQUERADE PARTY

Dustin Grabau indicated that he received an invitation to the Wasatch Community's Foundation Masquerade Party on Thursday. Dustin indicated that he wouldn't be available and out of town Sunday through October 16, 2024. I may be back for that meeting on October 16, 2024, meeting. He asked if any of the Council would be interested in attending the Masquerade Party. Tiarra Cooper has the contact information and feel free to contact her and it is next Thursday.

THE LDS TEMPLE UPDATE

Dustin Grabau indicated that a decision has been made and the County has filed a motion for Summary Judgment and that it is publicly available information.

Chair Spencer Park indicated that there is a need for a closed session for property acquisition and pending litigation.

Councilman Kendall Crittenden made a motion to leave our regular agenda and go into Closed Session for property acquisition and potential litigation. Councilman Mark Nelson seconded the motion, and the motion carries with the following vote:

AYE: Chair Spencer Park

AYE: Mark Nelson

AYE: Erik Rowland

AYE: Steve Farrell

AYE: Kendall Crittenden

AYE: Luke Searle

AYE: Karl McMillan

NAY: None.

**MINUTES OF THE
WASATCH COUNTY COUNCIL
CLOSED SESSION
OCTOBER 2, 2024**

PRESENT: Chair Spencer Park
Councilman Mark Nelson
Councilman Erik Rowland
Councilman Steve Farrell
Councilman Kendall Crittenden
Councilman Luke Searle
Councilman Karl McMillan

OTHERS PRESENT: Dustin Grabau, the Wasatch County Manager.
Heber Lefgren, the Assistant Wasatch County Manager
Tiarra Cooper, from the Wasatch County Manager's Office
Scott Sweat, the Wasatch County Attorney
Wendy McKnight from the Clerk's Office

The record should show that the Wasatch County Council is now in Closed Session to discuss property acquisition and potential litigation. The record should reflect that the Council is meeting in the Wasatch County Council Chambers located in the Wasatch County Administration Building located at 25 North Main, Heber City, Utah 84302.

PROPERTY ACQUISITION AND POTENTIAL LITIGATION

Dustin Grabau, the Wasatch County Manager, addressed the Wasatch County Council in closed session for Property Acquisition and Potential Litigation and indicated that:

Councilman Karl McMillan made a motion to leave the Closed Session and go back into the regular agenda and adjourn. Councilman Mark Nelson seconded the motion, and the motion carries with the following vote:

AYE: Chair Spencer Park

AYE: Mark Nelson

AYE: Erik Rowland

AYE: Steve Farrell

AYE: Kendall Crittenden

AYE: Luke Searle

AYE: Karl McMillan

NAY: None.

Meeting adjourned at 7:30 p.m.



SPENCER PARK/CHAIRMAN


JOEY D. GRANGER/CLERK/AUDITOR