

**MINUTES OF THE
WASATCH COUNTY COUNCIL
MAY 1, 2024**

The Wasatch County Council met in regular session live and by Zoom at 4:00 p.m. and the following business was transacted.

PRESENT: Chair Spencer Park
Mark Nelson
Erik Rowland
Steve Farrell
Kendall Crittenden
Luke Searle
Karl McMillan

STAFF: Dustin Grabau, the Wasatch County Manager
Heber Lefgren, the Assistant Wasatch County Manager
Wendy McKnight, from the clerk's office
Scott Sweat, the Wasatch County Attorney
Jon Woodard, the Assistant Wasatch County Attorney
Rick Tatton, Court Reporter via Zoom.
Richard Breitenbeker, from the County Manager's Office
Tierra Cooper, from the Wasatch County Manager's Office

PRAYER: Councilman Steve Farrell

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: Led by Chair Spencer Park and repeated by everyone.

Chair Spencer Park called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 1, 2024 and indicated that all the Wasatch County Council are present except Councilman Luke Searle who will be present later. The record should also show that the Wasatch County Council is meeting in the Wasatch County Council Chambers located in the Wasatch County Administrative Building at 25 North Main, Heber City, Utah 84032. Chair Spencer Park then called the first agenda item.

OPEN AND PUBLIC MEETING AFFIDAVIT

The Open and Public Meeting Affidavit was made a part of the record.

ADMINISTRATIVE ISSUES FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

Chair Spencer Park asked if there were any administrative issues for future meetings. Dustin Grabau, the Wasatch County Manager, addressed the Wasatch County Council and indicated that a couple of weeks ago we had Joan Gould join us as the Wasatch County's Communication Director and just wanted the Council to meet her. Joan Gould then introduced herself to the Council.

LEGISLATIVE ISSUES FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

Chair Spencer Park asked if there were any legislative issues for future meetings and there was none.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON MATTERS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Chair Spencer Park asked if there is any public comment on matters not on the agenda and there was none.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FOR APRIL 17, 2024

Councilman Steve Farrell made a motion to approve the minutes for April 17, 2024 as written. Councilman Karl McMillan seconded the motion and the motion carries with the following vote:

AYE: Chair Spencer Park

AYE: Mark Nelson

AYE: Erik Rowland

AYE: Steve Farrell

AYE: Karl McMillan

NAY: None.

Abstain: Councilman Kendall Crittenden (absent)

COUNCIL

CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTING JED MUHLSTEIN AS THE ENGINEERING COORDINATOR.

Dustin Grabau, the Wasatch County Manager, addressed the Wasatch County Council and indicated that Wasatch County Code sections dealing with engineering are structured so that an Engineering Coordinator is the administrative authority of those relevant items. In April of 2024,

Jed Muhlstein started as the new County Engineer Wasatch County. Code 14.22. 03(A) requires that the engineering coordinator be appointed with the advice and consent of the Council. Such approval and motion is requested of the Wasatch County Council.

Councilman Kendall Crittenden made a motion that we approve the appointment of Jed Muhlstein as the Wasatch County engineering coordinator. Councilman Steve Farrell seconded the motion and the motion carries with the following vote:

AYE: Chair Spencer Park

AYE: Mark Nelson

AYE: Erik Rowland

AYE: Kendall Crittenden

AYE: Karl McMillan

AYE: Steve Farrell

NAY: None.

DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTING CHANGES TO THE PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE DISTRICT POLICY.

Dustin Grabau, the Wasatch County Manager addressed the Wasatch County Council and indicated that in the March work meeting, the Council considered proposed changes to the Public Infrastructure District PID Policy. Following that meeting, staff worked with contracted bond attorneys and financial advisors to create the current draft attached hereto. It adopts changes that make primarily residential housing projects in the Jordanelle Basin eligible for a PID with a reliance on one-time assessments for repayment. This version incorporates changes to clarify that payment is due at the time of title transfer and also incorporates some of the Council's feedback from our most recent meeting specifically focusing on some language changes in two areas as has been listed above and has been approved by our bond attorney. At the present time there is two pending PID applications.

Councilman Karl McMillan made a motion that we approve the changes for the Public Infrastructure District Policy as presented. Councilman Steve Farrell seconded the motion and the motion carries as follows:

AYE: Mark Nelson

AYE: Chair Spencer Park

AYE: Erik Rowland

AYE: Steve Farrell

AYE: Kendall Crittenden

AYE: Karl McMillan

NAY: None.

DISCUSSION AND DIRECTION OF POTENTIAL CHANGES TO AN AMENDED DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT WITH SKYRIDGE.

Dustin Grabau, the Wasatch County Manager, addressed the Wasatch County Council and indicated that Sky Ridge development is in the process of making an application for a lodge adjacent to the golf facilities already approved along the Jordanelle Parkway. Due to the unique nature of the project, including its connections to the Golf Academy and Equestrian Facility Public Infrastructure District, and the unique economic impacts that creates, a legislative development agreement is being proposed for consideration. Sky Ridge then presented the items of consideration for this amended development agreement. Items include the height of the proposed facility and how they intend to meet parking requirements.

Jack Johnson, representing Sky Ridge, addressed the Wasatch County Council and presented a Power Point Presentation and some background for the changes to an amended development agreement with Sky Ridge. We have three different types of homes and they are small, medium and large lots, not homes but lots. We have 503 ERU's and we have 473 single family lots but using 32 ERU's for this lodge right now and we will not go over the 503 ERU's and are about halfway through it right now. Councilman Steve Farrell indicated that the fourth amendment will go with this building and the height in the back is that what the issue is? Jack Johnson indicated that is one of the issues.

Jon Woodard, the Assistant Wasatch County Attorney, addressed the Wasatch County Council and presented a power point presentation and then indicated that we have talked about doing a Legislative Development Agreement and the purpose of this is to get the Council's feelings on if we are headed in the right direction. At the present time this has been an Administrative Development Agreement and never been legislatively approved the development agreement itself.

Jon Woodard replied that in other words the project so far has all been approved under the existing land use code. Also Administrative review would generally require us to apply the existing law strictly to the application.

The Legislative versus Administrative is:

1. Lodge is allowed under the approved master plan, so a lodge can be built under current code, but exceptions to the code have not been granted.
2. The administrative body could not make exceptions to ANY ordinances for things the legislative body believes would make a better lodge project.
3. If we denied an administrative application, we would need to have substantial evidence on the record for denial of any proposals by Sky ridge.
4. Legislative development agreement allowed by state code 17-27a-528 allows the legislative body to approve a unique project, and allows for greater discretion as long as you think the application supports the general welfare.
5. Gives the legislative body the freedom to ask questions and consider proposals that applicable land use regulations governing the area subject to the development agreement may otherwise

- prohibit, and focus on whether the project being considered is the interest of the general welfare.
6. Gives the applicant the freedom to propose the project they think is best for the purposes of the Golf Lodge considering the purposes and intent of the JSPA as a resort community and the purposes of MIDA, as an asset to the military.
 7. The County legislative body has significant discretion to legally approve or deny a legislative approval that you would not have in an administrative approval.
 8. Typically, the land use laws should be modified if they are not working as desired by the legislative body.
 9. However, a golf lodge that includes MIDA units is so unique, that staff sees that modifying the land use and development code on a County wide basis may not create a better outcome for this project or could result in rules that likely would not apply to other projects in the foreseeable future due to the unique nature of the project and the property.
 10. Staff does not believe the legislative approval process is good practice for most developments.
 11. Staff believes that unique items covered in a legislative development agreement should be limited in scope.

Jon Woodard also indicated that we have had a lot of people wanting us to open a Legislative Development Agreement further and let them use it for every project that we consider and not just for unique things. The scope of this development agreement is :

1. Building height for the lodge with 75 feet height limit.
2. The lodge is in a low density residential area which limits heights and uses to residential dwellings.
3. Parking is not within 200 feet of the use and is off site.
4. MIDA ERU's will not count.

Jon Woodard replied that:

1. The Development Agreement will attach the approved layout.
2. This is anticipated to fully comply with the County Code, but will be adopted with the site plan, and will be memorialized in the development agreement.
3. The proposed layout is still going through Development Review Committee DRC review.

ERU DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

Jon Woodard indicated that with regard to the ERU's is that due to the fact that this property is under contract to be owned by MIDA under the Tax Sharing and Reimbursement Agreement, based the Interlocal agreement which exempts MIDA owned properties, in accordance with the spirit of the MIDA project in Wasatch County which includes assistance to the military, the fact that MIDA will use the MIDA housing units to support military use of the facility, and due to the fact that the MIDA owned property in the future could be subject to MIDA land use authority and then may not count as ERU's under Wasatch County land use authority, the MIDA Housing above will not count towards the ERU value for the project.

BUILDING HEIGHT-DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

Jon Woodard replied that the height of the Lodge, notwithstanding any contrary provision of the County Code. The Lodge, as viewed from the street, will be an appropriate scale and will fit in with the surrounding uses and residential and clubhouse structures. The parties agreed that the Lodge furthers the intent of the JSPA for a year round resort. Additionally, the parties agree, that because the topography quickly drops from the road, and that the bottom floor of the Lodge is below the road which provides frontage for the Lodge, the impact of the height of the Lodge is significantly lower. The provision of three MIDA units in the Lodge also provides a substantial benefit, consistent with the purposes of the MIDA of facilitating recreation facilities for military personnel, and the additional height helps facilitate this purpose in a feasible manner.

PARKING-DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

Jon Woodard replied that the off street parking facilities required by this chapter shall be located on the same lot as the use except in cases of practical difficulty the designated land use authority may approve a substitute location which meets the following conditions.

1. All or part of the substitute location must be on an adjacent lot, or within two hundred feet from the use, and easily accessible for pedestrian traffic so pedestrians are not required to cross a public street to access the use for which the parking is provided.
2. The substitute lot must be in the same possession as the use it is intended to service and must be maintained as long as the use or structure exists. Such possession may be by recorded deed or long term lease and should run concurrently with the life of the building or use. The length of such lease shall be at least twenty five years, but may be terminated or modified earlier with the consent of the county planning department, if the use for which the parking is required is terminated or modified.

Jon Woodard replied that notwithstanding any contrary provision of the County code, the parking for the Lodge is approved. The parties agree that the Lodge Parking is consistent with the JSPA vision and purpose statement in JSPA code subsection 1.1.4(I) be adequate and accessible. The Developer is required to always maintain Valet Only Parking on the Lodge Site and shuttle service from the off-site parking when the Lodge is open. The JSPA should provide the guests and residents a lodging retail, dining, entertainment, transit and recreational activities on a year-round basis, because providing for a world class lodge on the Lodge parcel requires an impressive layout from the frontage, and requires an integrated relationship to the Golf Academy that is archived by the proposed Lodge parking. Additionally, the provision of three MIDA units in the Lodge also provides a substantial benefit, consistent with the purposes of the MIDA, of facilitating recreation facilities for military personnel, and the Lodge parking helps facilitate this purpose in a feasible manner. Moreover, the developer has submitted, and the County's independent evaluation has confirmed that the Lodge parking is shown to be consistent with other resort developments and communities that have successfully provided parking to world class lodge facilities.

LEGISLATIVE APPROVAL-DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

Jon Woodard replied that as set forth in the foregoing recitals, and for the reasons outlined in the foregoing sections, this Agreement has been approved by the County Council pursuant to Utah Code Section 17-27a-502 after notice as required under Utah Code Section 17-27a-205. Thus, pursuant to Utah Code Section 17-27a-528, and as set forth in the Recitals, this Agreement allows uses and Development of land that applicable land use regulations would otherwise prohibit. The County Council finds this Agreement is consistent with the General Plan in all material respects for this Project, notwithstanding any particular provision or provisions of the General Plan which may be interpreted to be inconsistent with this Agreement and regardless of any contrary provision of the County Code. The legislative approval of this Agreement only applies to this Agreement as opposed to the DA.

Jon Woodard indicated that we want to be clear under this that the things that we are considering doing this a legislative approval are for the previous four things as stated above and not planning on using a legislative development agreement to address other items that would normally be handled through the DRC process which it is going through right now. Also the legislative development agreement will also include the site plan and only these four things will be legislatively approved as exceptions to the normal standards of the code. This will be the fourth amendment and will be different from the original development agreement and the three existing ones and all those were just signed by the County Manager administratively and this one will be adopted by ordinance and approved by the Council through the legislative process and through that ordinance. Councilman Steve Farrell replied that this amendment number four only includes the golf academy and the club house. Jon Woodard replied that it is actually just the lodge. Dustin Grabau replied that if you do have any feedback for us and now would be the time otherwise we will run, assuming that these things align with your priorities I will include going through those three public hearings following a DRC process. The Wasatch County Council indicated that this is a good process and are in favor of it.

The record should show that Councilman Luke Searle has joined the Wasatch County Council.

CONSIDERATION AWARDING AN RFP FOR ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN SERVICE FOR THE WASATCH COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING PROJECT.

Richard Breitenbeker, from the Wasatch County Manager's Office, addressed the Wasatch County Council and indicated that in accordance with County Council action on March 6, 2024, Wasatch County solicited bids through a request for proposals to design a new administration building. Bids included costs as a percentage of construction costs projected in a previous facility needs assessment, as well as professional qualifications and examples of previous experience. After initial review, four of the seven received bids were selected for interviews.

The selection committee consisted of representatives from the County Council, the County

Manager's Office, Public Works, and the Engineering Department. The selection committee considered competence, experience and value cost in interviewing MSR, VCBO, CRSA and EDA. It is the unanimous opinion of the selection committee that EDA Architects represents the best of a good candidate pool to provide high value design services at a competitive cost. We would like a motion approving the recommendation to award the RFP to EDS Architects. The fiscal impacts will be 6.25 percent of estimated construction costs, an amount currently totaling \$1,466.563, distributed largely in 2025.

Richard Breitenbeker indicated that others reasons we selected EDA for this.

1. Competence to perform the services.
2. Technical training.
3. Education.
3. Direct experience.
4. History of management.
- 5 Best value costs for the offered services.
6. A lower cost.
7. Very effective in providing excellent service at a very competitive cost as well.
8. EDA Architects also doing the Court House Expansion Project.
9. The cost method that was used.
10. Aggressive Schedule is good.
11. Good guidance.
12. Bring good expertise that we may not have in that process.
13. Familiar with Heber City staff regarding the annexation which will have to be done.
14. Occupancy of the building by the end of 2026 pending that approval process.
15. Familiar with the location for annexation.
16. Will be provided the bypass information regarding the annexation.

Richard Breitenbeker indicated that the property is right of Highway 113 which is a ten acre piece of land. As was discussed in the March 6, 2024 meeting the intent is to potentially use the additional acres as additional parking and other facilities related to the parks on the other side of the road and so having it all annexed in is more appropriate. What we hope to do is align a design process of the administration building towards the end of this year with a draft EIS as it is released. That is expected later this year and those things will give us a high degree of confidence where the administration building will be in relation to the bypass so that when we would begin construction would be about the time that the final EIS is coming out and we have one hundred percent confidence and it will align very well.

Councilman Steve Farrell replied that access to this building is off from South Field Road is that correct? Dustin Grabau replied that is correct. The parking lots will align up with the parking lots that already exist on South Field Road for the ball fields. The worst case scenario is that UDOT will make more significant shifts to the bypass alignment and shifts is further east and south which case the administration building would be to just shift in the same way and it might incur some additional design costs depending on the timing when those things come out.

Councilman Luke Searle indicated that the building needs to look like a building that would be somewhat classical that can last us a good amount of time. Dustin Grabau replied that the plan is following your approval on this to develop a steering committee that would consist of members of yourself, myself and maybe some other county staff and then to make sure that you are included in the loop. We did talk with them about and this would be part of that decision making process of the steering committee is their public engagement that you want to the policy makers, yourselves, is it included county staff and what does that process look like for the design attributes. There is a variety in the designs that they shared were reflective of their ability to max the needs of the community and so I really feel like we are going to get a design that matches our character and not just a super modern building that doesn't fit within our community. A lot of the details will be looked in in the next months and will dive into a lot of those details and getting the architects on board is that first step of beginning of doing all of that design work. Councilman Luke Searle, Councilman Spencer Park and Councilman Erik Rowland will be the subcommittee members.

Councilman Karl McMillan made a motion that we approve EDA as our architecture reps as has been presented. Councilman Erik Rowland seconded the motion and the motion carries with the following vote:

**AYE: Mark Nelson
AYE: Erik Rowland
AYE: Steve Farrell
AYE: Chair Spencer Park
AYE: Kendall Crittenden
AYE: Luke Searle
AYE: Karl McMillan**

NAY: None.

DISCUSSION/CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION 24-02 DECLARING MAY AS MENTAL HEALTH AWARENESS MONTH IN WASATCH COUNTY.

Councilman Kendall Crittenden indicated that 50 million or 19.86 percent of American adults experience mental illness and Utah statistic show that adult's rank 48th among the other states, for prevalence of mental illness and access to care and that in Wasatch County, 18 percent of adults are diagnosed with a mental illness. Also ten percent of youth or 2.5 million American youth have severe depression and that youth rank 32nd among other states, for prevalence of mental illness and access to care and that in Wasatch County 62 percent of youth report moderate depressive symptoms. Impact of mental illness reaches families, neighborhoods, schools and the workplace and research shows that mental health conditions are a leading cause of disability in the United States. May has been recognized as National Mental Health Awareness Month since 1949 and designating a Mental Health Awareness Month in Wasatch County is an opportunity to raise public awareness and ensure people living with mental health conditions that they are not alone, that hope exists and that the possibility of healing and thriving is real. Entities supporting the awareness will

be sponsored by the Wasatch County Health Department, Wasatch Behavioral Health, Wasatch County School District and Caring Community Coalition which will include QPR and Mental Health First Aid training, as well as other local community activities. We would appreciate a motion making May 2024 Mental Health Awareness Month.

Councilman Kendall Crittenden made a motion that we approve Resolution 24-02 declaring May as Mental Health Awareness Month in Wasatch County. Councilman Karl McMillan seconded the motion and the motion carries with the following vote:

**AYE: Mark Nelson
AYE: Erik Rowland
AYE: Steve Farrell
AYE: Chair Spencer Park
AYE: Kendall Crittenden
AYE: Luke Searle
AYE: Karl McMillan**

NAY: None.

COUNCIL/BOARD REPORTS

Councilman Steve Farrell indicated that the public lands meeting was this morning and all of the representatives, senators and all the entities were present. In the Heber District Dano was looking at doing some more timber sales and are looking at so many thousand board feet in the Strawberry area over the next two years and also look at some stripe burns to increase the healthiness of the forest. In the Spanish Fork District we talked about the transfer of the Sheep Creek Road. Utah County has got an issue with the legal description that the highway commission presented to them and working through that. There are some issues with the quality of the asphalt that we would want corrected before we take ownership of it. There is also an issue that apparently UDOT had a conversation with NRCS and UDOT sent them an outline of those routes and it looks like it took us off the list for funding for anything on the east side of the Provo River because of the route distance so have a potential of losing forty to fifty million dollars on that and if we don't support the conservation effort they can use the money elsewhere. The only conservation is the Kiesel one because it is on the west side of the river and it won't be affected by the bypass. Councilman Steve Farrell also indicated that Wendy Fisher told me that on the phone.

Dustin Grabau replied that they wanted to see what the alignments were and were going to check with the office of their General Counsel to see if UDOT's process was far enough along to be a point of consideration as they examined those things and they weren't confident that UDOT was far enough along in their planning process to make it a consideration. They also pointed out that the Allen Giles property isn't on any of those alignments and shouldn't have any issues with it. There was a possibility that properties that overlapped with the bypass may have to consider the bypass or it wouldn't necessarily be an obligation.

Tracy Taylor, a Wasatch County resident, indicated that this is news to her and it is very concerning because she has a bunch of questions. When this meeting was held who was in this meeting? Dustin Grabau indicated that Richard and he met with UDOT to discuss the impacts of the bypass on our property for the administration building. UDOT indicated that they were pausing the bypass process and not doing any work on it until they could answer questions from the NRCS and as a result I volunteered to set up a meeting with the NRCS and contacted the right representative there and facilitated a meeting between UDOT and the NRCS (Natural Resources Conservation Service) so UDOT could ask the questions necessary to be able to continue with their process so again the meeting again was between myself, Richard and two UDOT staff members and one NRCS staff member which was a Zoom meeting. They had time this Monday afternoon so we got together and met and we talked about just the very questions that I raised. He was going to take the information from UDOT and go back and talk to with his team and that is where the meeting ended up.

Tracy Taylor indicated who authorized this meeting and did the Council know there was a meeting? Councilman Steve Farrell replied that UDOT was just asking questions. Dustin Grabau indicated that UDOT doesn't need the Councils permission to meet with the NRCS. Tracy Taylor indicated that this is really concerning information. Dustin Grabau indicated that what we didn't want to do was start the closing process and get to it at the end of next year and then have an issue. I would rather consider all of the items and inputs as we go through this process. NRCS indicated that they would take this information and process and just factor it into their process.

Councilman Steve Farrell replied to Wendy Fisher that we were just talking about the process since UDOT has kind of laid out their proposed route to NRCS and how it is going to affect our conservation easements in the future. Wendy Fisher replied that the main answer at this point is that we don't completely know and what I have been told is a 250 foot right-of-way is like the size of Provo Canyon that is what they are thinking. There is a concern that it is a little bit of a moving target. The issue right now is that they will take this information into account. Also don't know what this means quite frankly for us in moving forward and we are still going to make the applications. It still is not clear because it is not even through the EIS Phase. The impression that was left is there some sense that UDOT indicated that this is where we are going to go. The issue right now is does this potentially affect our application for RCPT because right now we are just trying to figure out what it means for the three properties that we have done. I will be meeting with the Giles property on Monday. It felt like to me that the North Fields is the way to go from UDOT and maybe they haven't presented that. We need to do as much as we can to do preservation.

Councilman Erik Rowland replied that to him by having these initial conversations was important because this could have blown up significantly more further down the road had they not had these initial conversations. Wendy Fisher replied that it was to understand if in the event that this does become a chosen alternative then what does condemnation look like.

Councilman Mark Nelson indicated that even before the conservation easement was approved by the Wasatch County Council that UDOT had brought up the issue that they needed to study this new model and integrate this new model into their thinking and there was almost a hint to what

you referred to as I recall this new model was going to make it more likely that they would select or consider a route through the North Fields.

Wendy Fisher replied that from my conversation that the statement should have been that now we need to go back and restudy what we ended up doing on Highway 40 because of the traffic model changing and that what we came up as alternative on Highway 40 and not that we couldn't change and make them work that is not what we originally did. That is how I understood it.

Dustin Grabau replied that he told them to come and present this at our next work meeting and mainly just to answer questions because again they don't have a lot of updates. The process is already well outlined and see if UDOT's process has reached a point at which they are required to consider its impacts. What they didn't want to do was continue work on the draft EIS but have an insurmountable road block to implementing it so they had to know and understand at what point the NRCS would factor it in and if they needed to pursue condemnation what would be required of them in order to justify this and that is what the meeting on Monday was intended to facilitate it. They were hoping to hear back from Scott Sweat after he talks with the office of general counsel to know one if the bypass is far enough along that it is required to be factored into their consideration for closing on the conservation easement or if it is not what does that process look for them to potentially pursue an alignment that goes through a conservation easement.

Councilman Steve Farrell replied that we will wait and see what takes place. Councilman Luke Searle indicated that we need to have a time where we can ask questions and get answer not only from UDOT but also NRCS if that is a possibility.

Wendy Fisher replied that we need to be involved in some of these conversations and we should not have these conversations afterwards and hear other things. Dustin Grabau replied that we want to have those conservation easements and what we need to do is make sure that we are following the process. And we all need to be on the same page.

Tracy Taylor indicated that the voters voted on a ten million dollar bond in 2018 and there number one area was the North Fields to preserve that is why it passed because that was a priority. The Council did a resolution based on public input.

Councilman Kendall Crittenden indicated that he attended the wild land fire meeting last Wednesday in Kamas and was a joint meeting with Wasatch County Emergency Management and Summit County Emergency Management and was impressed with how the two counties work together in the different areas. Fire Mitigation Plans this summer are in good shape. The Soapstone Bridge was also discussed as UDOT is replacing this fall and will take two months to fix a new bridge. While the bridge is being fixed to help with emergencies that might occur possibly a helicopter could be used along with some ATV's available to use.

Councilman Luke Searle indicated that from the library board meetings they are appreciated just like the senior center of allocation of funds to be able to take care of their facilities and to update

the AVAC System. On June 19, 2024 we are planning on having to have a firm date of the President and Vice President of the Rocky Mountain University of Health Professionals to give us a short presentation about what they do and potential partnerships and have reached out to the health department to see if they can attend and have some good working relationship with them in the future. Also wanted to get the Council's feedback about a work shop with legislators and have that sometime this summer to be able to discuss legislative issues and things that we can work with our legislators regarding. Councilman Steve Farrell indicated that anytime they can be here we can work around that and expand it to include MIDA and get their senators involved.

MANAGER'S REPORT

CONSIDERATION OF FUTURE POLICE ENFORCEMENT FOR MIDWAY

Dustin Grabau, the Wasatch County Manager, addressed the Wasatch County Council and indicated that Midway City has reached out and would like to meet and talk about future additional law enforcement services and who of you would like to be involved in this. Councilman Kendall Crittenden, Councilman Steve Farrell and Councilman Mark Nelson. We will set up a meeting with the three of you and will coordinate with the Sheriff also to see if he has changed his position.

Chair Spencer Park indicated that there is a need for a closed regarding litigation.

Councilman Mark Nelson made a motion to go into a Closed Session regarding litigation. Councilman Erik Rowland seconded the motion. The motion carries with the following vote:

AYE: Chair Spencer Park

AYE: Karl McMillan

AYE: Luke Searle

AYE: Kendall Crittenden

AYE: Steve Farrell

AYE: Erik Rowland

AYE: Mark Nelson

NAY: None.

**MINUTES OF THE
WASATCH COUNTY COUNCIL
CLOSED SESSION
MAY 1, 2024**

PRESENT: Councilman Mark Nelson
Chair Spencer Park
Erik Rowland
Steve Farrell
Kendall Crittenden
Luke Searle
Karl McMillan

ALSO PRESENT: Dustin Grabau, the Wasatch County Manager
Scott Sweat, the Wasatch County Attorney
Jon Woodard, the Assistant Wasatch County Attorney

The record should reflect that the Wasatch County Council is now in Closed Session at 7:00 p.m. on Wednesday May 1, 2024, regarding litigation. Also the Wasatch County Council is meeting in the Wasatch County Council Chambers located in the Wasatch County Administration Building at 25 North Main Street, Heber City, Utah 84032.

LITIGATION

Dustin Grabau, the Wasatch County Manager, addressed the Wasatch County Council regarding litigation and indicated that:

Councilman Karl McMillan made a motion to leave our closed Session. Councilman Steve Farrell seconded the motion and the motion carries with the following vote:

**AYE: Chair Spencer Park
AYE: Kendall Crittenden
AYE: Luke Searle
AYE: Karl McMillan
AYE: Mark nelson
AYE: Erik Rowland
AYE: Steve Farrell**

NAY: None.

ADJOURNMENT

Councilman Steve Farrell made a motion to adjourn. Councilman Karl McMillan seconded the motion and the motion carries with the following vote:

**AYE: Chair Spencer Park
AYE: Karl McMillan
AYE: Mark Nelson
AYE: Luke Searle
AYE: Erik Rowland
AYE: Steve Farrell
AYE: Kendall Crittenden**

NAY: None.

Meeting adjourned at 8:00 p.m.


SPENCER PARK/CHAIRMAN


JOEY D. GRANGER/CLERK/AUDITOR

